Table 4. Measures and results of the studies reviewed.

Author, year,	Object of	User satisfaction, experiences, and acceptability of	Level of
program	investigation;	treatment	acceptance <sup>a</sup>
	measures		(adjusted by
			the author)
Ahmedani et	Acceptability	Participant ratings on the intervention were	+
al, 2015 [41]		positive; over 75% of participants enjoyed	
Internet-based	Participant	working with the computer tablets, nearly 86%	
cognitive	acceptability ratings	rated the patient and physician videos highly;	
behavioral	of the intervention	almost 70% said participating in the intervention	
therapy	were characterized	group (IG) got them thinking about their	
(iCBT)	along with items of	depression; the majority said being more likely to	
program (no	interest in treatment	talk with their doctor about their depression	
name)	seeking	(60.9%) and making changes in their daily lives to	
		help with depression (60.9%) as a result of	
		participating in this project; 75% stated that others	
		would benefit "quite a lot" or "very much" from	
		completing the intervention.	
Berger et al,	Satisfaction	Participants reported a medium to high level of	+
2011 [25]		satisfaction in the active treatment arms; scores on	
		satisfaction scale: 3.12 (standard deviation [SD]	
Deprexis	ZUF-8 <sup>b</sup> (based on	0.44) for the guided self-help condition, thus	
(guided or	CSQ-8°)	falling between "somewhat" (3) and "very	
unguided)		satisfied" (4); 2.86 (SD 0.53) for the unguided	
		self-help group.	
Berman et al,	Acceptability	Mean score for acceptability of the intervention	+
2014 [31]	Acceptability	remained stable from week 4 (mean=5.29,	1.
ePST	AST <sup>d</sup> , including 16	SD=1.1) to week 10 (mean=5.46, SD=1.3); on	
CL91	statements that	average, participants felt that ePST was acceptable	
	participants respond	as a stand-alone treatment for depression	
	to on a 7-point Likert	(indicating that they felt they did not need a	
	scale	clinician's input).	
Boeschoten et	Satisfaction	Whereas 85% of the patients rated the quality of	1
	Sausiacuon		+
al, 2012 [42]		the intervention as good or excellent, 77.5% were	

Computerized	CSQ-8; 10-point	satisfied with the amount of help they had	
cognitive	VAS <sup>e</sup> of their own	received; 75% thought the intervention had helped	
behavioral	devising (opinion	them to deal with their emotional problems;	
		•	
therapy	about the website,	approximately 62% reported that the intervention	
(cCBT)	support, and total	had met their needs; 82.5% would recommend this	
program (no	intervention; higher	kind of therapy to others; 60% would use the same	
name)	scores=more	intervention if they needed help again; CSQ-8:	
	satisfaction)	23.6 (SD 4.8) for the total sample; regarding the	
		VAS, support from the coach received the highest	
		rank, that is, 7.7 (SD 1.2), the website scored 7.2	
		(SD 1.1), and the total intervention scored 7.2 (SD	
		1.3).	
Burns et al,	Satisfaction	Treatment completers rated their satisfaction with	+
2011 [43]		the mobile phone in general by agreeing or	
Mobilyze!	Semistructured	disagreeing with the statement "I am satisfied with	
	interview	it"; their average rating was 5.71 (SD 1.38) on a	
	of their own	scale rating from 1 (=strong disagreement) to 7	
	devising, Web-based	(=strong agreement); during coaching calls, 86%	
	self-reports at each	indicated that the intervention was helpful in	
	assessment, and	understanding triggers for negative moods and	
	information in	increasing their ability to recognize and modify	
	coaching sessions for	distressing behaviors and cognitions; participants'	
	gathering participant	suggestions included lengthening the intervention	
	feedback	and adding additional features such as a blog,	
		messaging with coaches, or a recording tool to	
		allow verbal elaboration on states when training by	
		the phone.	
Cartreine et	Acceptability	Participants found ePST to be acceptable; of	+
al, 2012 [32]		particular note were answers to the items "Doing	
ePST	AST including 16	problem-solving treatment using this program was	
	statements that the	acceptable to me" (mean=6.3, SD=1.1; median=7,	
	user responded to on	range=4-7) and "I would feel comfortable using	
	a 7-point scale	this program without a clinician's supervision"	
	r	(mean=6.4, SD=0.8; median=7, range=5-7).	
Choi et al,	Satisfaction	Moderate level of satisfaction with the program	+
2012 [44]	Satisfaction .	(overall satisfaction with program: 74% very	•
2012 [77]		satisfied, 33% neutral or somewhat satisfied, 4%	
		satisfied, 35 /0 field at 01 somewhat satisfied, 470	

The Brighten Vour Mood program of the treatment ondules: 74% very satisfaction the treatment modules: 74% very satisfied, 17% neutral or somewhat satisfied, 9% somewhat countring about the acceptability of the modified Chinese depression treatment protocol based on the CEQ¹ symptoms (mean=6.35, SD=2.10); participants would recommend this program to a friend with depression (mean=7.39, SD=1.95); 60% reported it was worth their time doing the program.  Danaher et al, 2013 [24] Participants according to the treatment allocation with the acceptability open-ended questions about satisfaction aspects in doing something for one self, tasks broken down into steps, and being free in time management; personal coach calls were well received, by feeling someone was caring, helping remember to log in.  de Graaf et al, Acceptability of the treatment allocation (1-yes, 2-neutral, 3-eno); Evaluation questionnaire (7 statements to be rated on a 5-point scale, 1-completely agree, 5-completely disagree)  Dear et al, 2013 [30] Participants rated a high level of satisfaction; \$2% said they would recommend the course to a friend with their depression (reCBT, 1-a 50; cCBT+TAU).				
program questionnaire enquiring about the acceptability of the modified Chinese depression treatment protocol based on the CEQ′ between the commendation of the commen	The Brighten	7-item treatment	somewhat dissatisfied; satisfaction with quality of	
enquiring about the acceptability of the modified Chinese depression treatment protocol based on the CEQf treatment as logical (mean=7.43, SD=1.90) and reported feeling confident that the treatment would be successful at teaching techniques for managing symptoms (mean=6.35, SD=2.10); participants would recommend this program to a friend with depression (mean=7.39, SD=1.95); 96% reported it was worth their time doing the program.  Danaher et al., 2013 [24]  Danahor et al., 2013 [24]  Danahor et al., 2014 4-point scale (1=not at all statisfied, 4-very satisfied; not at all helpful to very helpful); open-ended questions about satisfaction reflect positive feedback throughout with regard to the support by phone and positive aspects in doing something for one self, tasks broken down into steps, and being free in time management; personal coach calls were well received, by feeling someone was caring, helping remember to log in.  de Graaf et al., 2009 [45]  Colour Your Adapted Dutch version of the English CEQ; Expectancy question; Satisfaction with treatment allocation (1=yes, 2=neutral, 3=no); Evaluation questionnaire (7 statement allocation (1=yes, 2=neutral, 3=no); Evaluation questionnaire (7 statements to be rated on a 5-point scale, 1=completely agree, 5=completely disagree)  Dear et al., Satisfaction  Dear et al., Satisfaction  Dear et al., Satisfaction  Author of the participants rated the CBT, 4 for cCBT+TAU, disagree)  dissatisfication with their treatment (CCBT; 71 for cCBT+TAU; No=5 for cCBT, 4 for cCBT+TAU), disagree)	Your Mood	satisfaction	the treatment modules: 74% very satisfied, 17%	
CEQ   CEQ   CEN   CEQ   CEN   CED   CEQ   CED	program	questionnaire	neutral or somewhat satisfied, 9% somewhat	
modified Chinese depression treatment protocol based on the CEQf symptoms (mean=6.35, SD=2.10); participants would recommend this program to a friend with depression (mean=7.39, SD=1.95); 96% reported it was worth their time doing the program.  Danaher et al. 2013 [24] Participants expected being quite satisfied with the features of the intervention (mean=3.3, SD=0.4); personal coach calls were rated as being helpful (mean=3.4, SD=0.9); comments on program satisfaction at all helpful to very helpful); open-ended questions about assification at a guestions about assification at a guestions about assification at a guestions about a guestion of the English CEQ; Expectancy question; Satisfaction with treatment allocation (1=yes, 2=neutral, 3=no); Evaluation questionnaire (7 statement allocation (1=yes, 2=neutral, 3=no); Evaluation questionnaire (7 statements to be rated on a 5-point scale, 1-completely disagree)  Dear et al. Satisfaction Participants rated a high level of satisfaction; 82% ++		enquiring about the	dissatisfied); on a scale of 1 (=low level) to 10	
depression treatment protocol based on the CEQ¹ reported feeling confident that the treatment would be successful at teaching techniques for managing symptoms (mean=6.35, SD=2.10); participants would recommend this program to a friend with depression (mean=7.39, SD=1.95); 96% reported it was worth their time doing the program.  Danaher et al, Satisfaction Participants reported being quite satisfied with the features of the intervention (mean=3.3, SD=0.4); personal coach calls were rated as being helpful (mean=3.4, SD=0.9); comments on program satisfaction reflect positive feedback throughout with regard to the support by phone and positive aspects in doing something for one self, tasks broken down into steps, and being free in time management; personal coach calls were well received, by feeling someone was caring, helping remember to log in.  de Graaf et al, Acceptability Participants rated the cCBT as acceptable in terms of expectancy, credibility, and pre- and posttreatment satisfaction: Scores on the CEQ were moderately high (Expectancy: cCBT mean=18.8, SD=4.0, cCBT+TAU mean=19.2, SD=3.8); the majority of the participants expected that they would be less depressed after treatment (cCBT: n=33; cCBT+TAU: m=33) or that they would cope with their depression (CCBT: n=50; cCBT, 71 for cCBT+TAU; Neutral=29 for CCBT, 25 for cCBT+TAU; Neutral=29 for CCBT, 25 for cCBT+TAU; Neutral=29 for CCBT, 25 for cCBT+TAU; Neutral=29 for CCBT, 4 for cCBT+TAU).		acceptability of the	(=high level of agreement), people rated the	
protocol based on the CEQ¹ be successful at teaching techniques for managing symptoms (mean=6.35, SD=2.10); participants would recommend this program to a friend with depression (mean=7.39, SD=1.95); 96% reported it was worth their time doing the program.  Danaher et al, Satisfaction Participants reported being quite satisfied with the features of the intervention (mean=3.3, SD=0.4); personal coach calls were rated as being helpful mean=3.4, SD=0.9); comments on program satisfaction reflect positive feedback throughout with regard to the support by phone and positive aspects in doing something for one self, tasks broken down into steps, and being free in time management; personal coach calls were well received, by feeling someone was caring, helping remember to log in.  de Graaf et al, Acceptability Participants rated the cCBT as acceptable in terms of expectancy, credibility, and pre- and posttreatment satisfaction: Scores on the CEQ were moderately high (Expectancy: cCBT mean=18.3, SD=4.2, cCBT+TAU mean=19.0, SD=4.8; Credibility: cCBT mean=18.8, SD=4.0, cCBT+TAU mean=19.2, SD=3.8); the majority of the participants expected that they would cope with their depression (cCBT: n=53); cCBT+TAU: n=33) or that they would cope with their depression (CCBT: n=50; cCBT+TAU: n=44); most patients were satisfied with their treatment allocation (Yes=66 for CCBT, 25 for cCBT+TAU; Neutral=29 for cCBT, 25 for cCBT+TAU; neutral=29 for cCBT, 4 for cCBT+TAU).		modified Chinese	treatment as logical (mean=7.43, SD=1.90) and	
CEQ¹ symptoms (mean=6.35, SD=2.10); participants would recommend this program to a friend with depression (mean=7.39, SD=1.95); 96% reported it was worth their time doing the program.  Danaher et al, 2013 [24] Participants reported being quite satisfied with the features of the intervention (mean=3.3, SD=0.4); Personal coach calls were rated as being helpful (mean=3.4, SD=0.9); comments on program satisfaction reflect positive feedback throughout at all helpful to very helpful); open-ended questions about satisfaction at satisfaction reflect positive feedback throughout with regard to the support by phone and positive aspects in doing something for one self, tasks broken down into steps, and being free in time management; personal coach calls were well received, by feeling someone was caring, helping remember to log in.  de Graaf et al, 2009 [45] Participants rated the cCBT as acceptable in terms of expectancy, credibility, and pre- and posttreatment satisfaction: Scores on the CEQ were moderately high (Expectancy; cCBT mean=18.3, SD=4.2, cCBT+TAU mean=19.0, SD=4.8; Credibility: CBT mean=18.8, SD=4.0, CBT+TAU mean=19.2, SD=3.8); the majority of the participants expected that they would be less depressed after treatment (cCBT: n=33; or that they would cope with their depression (cCBT+TAU: n=33) or that they would cope with their depression (cCBT+TAU: n=33) or that they would cope with their depression (cCBT+TAU: n=33) or that they would cope with their depression (cCBT-17.1 for cCBT+TAU: n=44); most patients were satisfied with their treatment allocation (Yes=66 for cCBT, 71 for cCBT+TAU; neutral=29 for cCBT, 25 for cCBT+TAU; neutral=29 for cCBT, 4 for cCBT+TAU.  Dear et al, Satisfaction Participants rated a high level of satisfaction; 82% ++		depression treatment	reported feeling confident that the treatment would	
would recommend this program to a friend with depression (mean=7.39, SD=1.95); 96% reported it was worth their time doing the program.  Danaher et al, 2013 [24] Participants reported being quite satisfied with the features of the intervention (mean=3.3, SD=0.4); personal coach calls were rated as being helpful (mean=3.4, SD=0.9); comments on program satisfaction reflect positive feedback throughout with regard to the support by phone and positive aspects in doing something for one self, tasks proken down into steps, and being free in time management; personal coach calls were well received, by feeling someone was caring, helping remember to log in.  de Graaf et al, 2009 [45] Participants rated the cCBT as acceptable in terms of expectancy, credibility, and pre- and posttreatment satisfactions: Scores on the CEQ were moderately high (Expectancy: cCBT mean=18.3, SD=4.2, cCBT+TAU mean=19.0, SD=4.8; Credibility: cCBT mean=18.8, SD=4.0, cCBT+TAU mean=19.2, SD=3.8); the majority of the participants represendent to be rated on a 5-point scale, 1-completely agree, 5-completely disagree)  Dear et al, Satisfaction Participants rated a high level of satisfaction; 82% ++		protocol based on the	be successful at teaching techniques for managing	
depression (mean=7.39, SD=1.95); 96% reported it was worth their time doing the program.    Danaher et al, 2013 [24]		CEQ <sup>f</sup>	symptoms (mean=6.35, SD=2.10); participants	
it was worth their time doing the program.			would recommend this program to a friend with	
Danaher et al., 2013 [24]  MomMood-Booster 4-point scale (1=not at all satisfied, 4=very satisfied; not at all helpful to very helpful); open-ended questions about satisfaction 4-point scale (1) personal coach calls were rated as being helpful with regard to the support by phone and positive aspects in doing something for one self, tasks broken down into steps, and being free in time management; personal coach calls were well received, by feeling someone was caring, helping remember to log in.  de Graaf et al., 2009 [45]  Colour Your Life 4- Regish CEQ; Expectancy question; Satisfaction with treatment allocation (1=yes, 2=neutral, 3=no); Evaluation questionnaire (7 statements to be rated on a 5-point scale, 1=completely agree, 5=completely disagree)  Dear et al., Satisfaction 4- Participants rated a high level of satisfaction; 82% ++			depression (mean=7.39, SD=1.95); 96% reported	
2013 [24]   features of the intervention (mean=3.3, SD=0.4);   personal coach calls were rated as being helpful (mean=3.4, SD=0.9); comments on program satisfaction reflect positive feedback throughout with regard to the support by phone and positive aspects in doing something for one self, tasks broken down into steps, and being free in time management; personal coach calls were well received, by feeling someone was caring, helping remember to log in.    de Graaf et al, 2009 [45]   Participants rated the cCBT as acceptable in terms of expectancy, credibility, and pre- and posttreatment satisfaction: Scores on the CEQ were moderately high (Expectancy: cCBT mean=18.3, SD=4.2, cCBT+TAU mean=19.0, SD=4.8; Credibility: cCBT mean=18.8, SD=4.0, cCBT+TAU mean=19.2, SD=3.8); the majority of the participants expected that they would be less depressed after treatment (cCBT: n=33; cCBT+TAU: n=33) or that they would cope with their depression (cCBT: n=50; cCBT, 71 for 1 cCBT+TAU; Neutral=29 for cCBT, 71 for 1 cCBT+TAU; Neutral=29 for cCBT, 4 for cCBT+TAU, disagree)   Participants rated a high level of satisfaction; 82%   ++			it was worth their time doing the program.	
MomMood-Booster	Danaher et al,	Satisfaction	Participants reported being quite satisfied with the	++
Booster at all satisfied, 4=very satisfied; not at all helpful to very helpful); open-ended questions about satisfaction  de Graaf et al, 2009 [45]  Colour Your Life version of the English CEQ; Expectancy question; Satisfaction with treatment allocation (1=yes, 2=neutral, 3=no); Evaluation questionnaire (7 statements to be rated on a 5-point scale, 1=completely agine.)  Dear et al, 1 le Participants rated a high level of satisfaction; Scores on the CERT as acceptable in terms satisfaction: Scores on the CERT as acceptable in terms of expectancy, credibility, and pre- and posttreatment satisfaction: Scores on the CEQ were moderately high (Expectancy: cCBT mean=18.8, SD=4.0, cCBT+TAU mean=19.0, SD=4.8; Credibility: cCBT mean=18.8, SD=4.0, cCBT+TAU mean=19.2, SD=3.8); the majority of the participants expected that they would be less depressed after treatment (cCBT: n=33; cCBT+TAU: n=33) or that they would cope with their depression (cCBT: n=50; cCBT+TAU: n=44); most patients were satisfied with their treatment allocation (Yes=66 for cCBT, 71 for cCBT+TAU; No=5 for cCBT, 25 for cCBT+TAU; No=5 for cCBT, 4 for cCBT+TAU), disagree)  Dear et al, Satisfaction Participants rated a high level of satisfaction; 82% ++	2013 [24]		features of the intervention (mean=3.3, SD=0.4);	
4=very satisfied; not at all helpful to very helpful); open-ended questions about satisfaction management; personal coach calls were well received, by feeling someone was caring, helping remember to log in.  de Graaf et al, 2009 [45]  Colour Your Life version of the English CEQ; Expectancy question; Satisfaction with treatment allocation (1=yes, 2=neutral, 3=no); Evaluation questionnaire (7 statements to be rated on a 5-point scale, 1=completely agree, 5=completely disagree)  Dear et al, Satisfaction sabout with regard to the support by phone and positive astisfaction senting for one self, tasks broken down into steps, and being free in time management; personal coach calls were well received, by feeling someone was caring, helping remember to log in.  Acceptability Participants rated the cCBT as acceptable in terms of expectancy, credibility, and pre- and posttreatment satisfaction: Scores on the CEQ were moderately high (Expectancy: cCBT mean=18.3, SD=4.2, cCBT+TAU mean=19.0, SD=4.8; Credibility: cCBT mean=18.8, SD=4.0, cCBT+TAU mean=19.2, SD=3.8); the majority of the participants expected that they would be less depressed after treatment (cCBT: n=33; cCBT+TAU: n=33) or that they would cope with their depression (cCBT: n=50; cCBT+TAU: n=44); most patients were satisfied with their treatment allocation (Yes=66 for cCBT, 71 for cCBT+TAU; Neutral=29 for cCBT, 25 for cCBT+TAU; Neutral=29 for cCBT, 4 for cCBT+TAU).	MomMood-	4-point scale (1=not	personal coach calls were rated as being helpful	
at all helpful to very helpful); open-ended questions about satisfaction broken down into steps, and being free in time management; personal coach calls were well received, by feeling someone was caring, helping remember to log in.  de Graaf et al, 2009 [45] Participants rated the cCBT as acceptable in terms of expectancy, credibility, and pre- and posttreatment satisfaction: Scores on the CEQ were moderately high (Expectancy: cCBT English CEQ; mean=18.3, SD=4.2, cCBT+TAU mean=19.0, Satisfaction with treatment allocation (1=yes, 2=neutral, 3=no); Evaluation questionnaire (7 statements to be rated on a 5-point scale, 1=completely agree, 5=completely disagree)  Dear et al, Satisfaction with telepful); open ended as specific in time management; personal coach calls were in time management; personal coach calls were well received, by feeling someone was caring, helping remember to log in.  ### Acceptability  Participants rated the cCBT as acceptable in terms of expectancy, credibility, and pre- and posttreatment satisfaction: Scores on the CEQ were moderately high (Expectancy: cCBT mean=18.8, SD=4.0, cCBT+TAU mean=19.2, SD=3.8); the majority of the participants expected that they would be less depressed after treatment (cCBT: n=33; cCBT+TAU: n=33) or that they would cope with their depression (cCBT: n=50; cCBT+TAU: n=44); most patients were satisfied with their or a 5-point scale, 1=completely agree, 5=completely (cCBT+TAU; Neutral=29 for cCBT, 25 for cCBT+TAU; Neutral=29 for cCBT, 4 for cCBT+TAU).	Booster	at all satisfied,	(mean=3.4, SD=0.9); comments on program	
helpful); open-ended questions about satisfaction broken down into steps, and being free in time management; personal coach calls were well received, by feeling someone was caring, helping remember to log in.  de Graaf et al, 2009 [45] Participants rated the cCBT as acceptable in terms of expectancy, credibility, and pre- and posttreatment satisfaction: Scores on the CEQ were moderately high (Expectancy: cCBT English CEQ; Expectancy question; SD=4.8; Credibility: CCBT mean=18.8, SD=4.0, cCBT+TAU mean=19.2, SD=3.8); the majority of treatment allocation (1=yes, 2=neutral, 3=no); Evaluation questionnaire (7 their depression (cCBT: n=33; 3=no); Evaluation questionnaire (7 their depression (cCBT: n=50; cCBT+TAU: n=44); most patients were satisfied with their treatment allocation (Yes=66 for cCBT, 71 for 1=completely agree, 5=completely disagree)  Dear et al, Satisfaction Participants rated a high level of satisfaction; 82% ++		4=very satisfied; not	satisfaction reflect positive feedback throughout	
questions about satisfaction broken down into steps, and being free in time management; personal coach calls were well received, by feeling someone was caring, helping remember to log in.  de Graaf et al, 2009 [45] Participants rated the cCBT as acceptable in terms of expectancy, credibility, and pre- and posttreatment satisfaction: Scores on the CEQ were moderately high (Expectancy: cCBT mean=18.3, SD=4.2, cCBT+TAU mean=19.0, SD=4.8; Credibility: cCBT mean=18.8, SD=4.0, cCBT+TAU mean=19.2, SD=3.8); the majority of the participants expected that they would be less depressed after treatment (cCBT: n=33; 3=no); Evaluation questionnaire (7 statements to be rated on a 5-point scale, 1=completely agree, 5=completely disagree)  Dear et al, Satisfaction Participants rated a high level of satisfaction; 82% ++		at all helpful to very	with regard to the support by phone and positive	
satisfaction management; personal coach calls were well received, by feeling someone was caring, helping remember to log in.  de Graaf et al, 2009 [45] Participants rated the cCBT as acceptable in terms of expectancy, credibility, and pre- and posttreatment satisfaction: Scores on the CEQ were moderately high (Expectancy: cCBT English CEQ; mean=18.3, SD=4.2, cCBT+TAU mean=19.0, Satisfaction with cCBT+TAU mean=19.2, SD=3.8); the majority of treatment allocation (1=yes, 2=neutral, 3=no); Evaluation questionnaire (7 statements to be rated on a 5-point scale, 1=completely agree, 5=completely disagree)  Dear et al, Satisfaction Participants rated a high level of satisfaction; 82% ++		helpful); open-ended	aspects in doing something for one self, tasks	
received, by feeling someone was caring, helping remember to log in.  de Graaf et al, 2009 [45]  Colour Your Adapted Dutch posttreatment satisfaction: Scores on the CEQ wersion of the English CEQ; Expectancy question; Satisfaction with treatment allocation (1=yes, 2=neutral, 3=no); Evaluation questionnaire (7 statements to be rated on a 5-point scale, 1=completely agree, 5=completely disagree)  Dear et al, Satisfaction Participants rated a high level of satisfaction; 82% ++		questions about	broken down into steps, and being free in time	
remember to log in.  de Graaf et al, Acceptability Participants rated the cCBT as acceptable in terms of expectancy, credibility, and pre- and posttreatment satisfaction: Scores on the CEQ were moderately high (Expectancy: cCBT English CEQ; Expectancy question; SD=4.8; Credibility: cCBT mean=18.8, SD=4.0, Satisfaction with treatment allocation (1=yes, 2=neutral, 3=no); Evaluation questionnaire (7 their depression (cCBT: n=33); cCBT+TAU: n=33) or that they would cope with questionnaire (7 their depression (cCBT: n=50; cCBT+TAU: n=44); most patients were satisfied with their on a 5-point scale, 1=completely agree, 5=completely disagree)  Dear et al, Satisfaction Participants rated a high level of satisfaction; 82% ++		satisfaction	management; personal coach calls were well	
de Graaf et al, 2009 [45]  Colour Your Adapted Dutch posttreatment satisfaction: Scores on the CEQ Life version of the English CEQ; mean=18.3, SD=4.2, cCBT+TAU mean=19.0, Expectancy question; Satisfaction with treatment allocation (1=yes, 2=neutral, 3=no); Evaluation questionnaire (7 statements to be rated on a 5-point scale, 1=completely agree, 5=completely disagree)  Dear et al, Satisfaction Participants rated the cCBT as acceptable in terms of expectancy, credibility, and pre- and posterior, of expectancy, credibility, and pre- and posterior the CEQ  Participants rated the cCBT as acceptable in terms of expectancy, credibility, and pre- and posterior. He participants satisfaction: Scores on the CEQ  were moderately high (Expectancy: cCBT mean=18.8, SD=4.0, cCBT+TAU mean=19.0, Expectancy: cCBT+TAU mean=19.0, cCBT+TAU mean=19.0, statements of the participants expected that they would be less depressed after treatment (cCBT: n=33; cCBT+TAU: n=33) or that they would cope with their depression (cCBT: n=50; cCBT+TAU: n=44); most patients were satisfied with their cCBT+TAU; most patients were satisfied with their cCBT+TAU; neutral=29 for cCBT, 71 for cCBT+TAU; Neutral=29 for cCBT, 25 for cCBT+TAU; No=5 for cCBT, 4 for cCBT+TAU).			received, by feeling someone was caring, helping	
2009 [45]  Colour Your Adapted Dutch posttreatment satisfaction: Scores on the CEQ were moderately high (Expectancy: cCBT English CEQ; mean=18.3, SD=4.2, cCBT+TAU mean=19.0, Expectancy question; SD=4.8; Credibility: cCBT mean=18.8, SD=4.0, cCBT+TAU mean=19.2, SD=3.8); the majority of treatment allocation the participants expected that they would be less depressed after treatment (cCBT: n=33; 3=no); Evaluation questionnaire (7 their depression (cCBT: n=50; cCBT+TAU: n=44); most patients were satisfied with their on a 5-point scale, 1=completely agree, 5=completely disagree)  Dear et al, Satisfaction Participants rated a high level of satisfaction; 82% ++			remember to log in.	
Colour Your Life version of the version of the English CEQ; mean=18.3, SD=4.2, cCBT+TAU mean=19.0, SD=4.8; Credibility: cCBT mean=18.8, SD=4.0, cCBT+TAU mean=19.2, SD=3.8); the majority of treatment allocation (1=yes, 2=neutral, 3=no); Evaluation questionnaire (7 their depression (cCBT: n=50; cCBT+TAU: n=44); most patients were satisfied with their on a 5-point scale, 1=completely agree, 5=completely disagree)  Dear et al, Satisfaction of the posttreatment satisfaction: Scores on the CEQ were moderately high (Expectancy: cCBT were moderately high (Expectancy: cCBT treatment satisfaction: Scores on the CEQ were moderately high (Expectancy: cCBT treatmen=19.0, SD=4.8; Credibility: cCBT mean=19.0, SD=4.0, cCBT+TAU mean=19.0, sD=4.0, sD=4.0, cCBT+TAU mean=19.0, sD=4.0, sD	de Graaf et al,	Acceptability	Participants rated the cCBT as acceptable in terms	+
Life version of the were moderately high (Expectancy: cCBT English CEQ; mean=18.3, SD=4.2, cCBT+TAU mean=19.0, Expectancy question; SD=4.8; Credibility: cCBT mean=18.8, SD=4.0, cCBT+TAU mean=19.2, SD=3.8); the majority of treatment allocation the participants expected that they would be less (1=yes, 2=neutral, depressed after treatment (cCBT: n=33; 3=no); Evaluation cCBT+TAU: n=33) or that they would cope with questionnaire (7 their depression (cCBT: n=50; cCBT+TAU: n=44); most patients were satisfied with their on a 5-point scale, treatment allocation (Yes=66 for cCBT, 71 for 1=completely agree, cCBT+TAU; Neutral=29 for cCBT, 25 for 5=completely cCBT+TAU; No=5 for cCBT, 4 for cCBT+TAU). disagree)  Dear et al, Satisfaction Participants rated a high level of satisfaction; 82% ++	2009 [45]		of expectancy, credibility, and pre- and	
English CEQ; mean=18.3, SD=4.2, cCBT+TAU mean=19.0, SD=4.8; Credibility: cCBT mean=18.8, SD=4.0, cCBT+TAU mean=19.2, SD=3.8); the majority of the participants expected that they would be less depressed after treatment (cCBT: n=33; a=no); Evaluation cCBT+TAU: n=33) or that they would cope with questionnaire (7 their depression (cCBT: n=50; cCBT+TAU: n=44); most patients were satisfied with their on a 5-point scale, 1=completely agree, 5=completely cCBT+TAU; Neutral=29 for cCBT, 25 for cCBT+TAU; No=5 for cCBT, 4 for cCBT+TAU).  Dear et al, Satisfaction Participants rated a high level of satisfaction; 82% ++	Colour Your	Adapted Dutch	posttreatment satisfaction: Scores on the CEQ	
Expectancy question; Satisfaction with cCBT+TAU mean=19.2, SD=3.8); the majority of treatment allocation (1=yes, 2=neutral, 3=no); Evaluation questionnaire (7 statements to be rated on a 5-point scale, 1=completely agree, 5=completely disagree)  Dear et al,  Satisfaction with cCBT+TAU mean=19.2, SD=3.8); the majority of the participants expected that they would be less depressed after treatment (cCBT: n=33; cCBT+TAU: n=33) or that they would cope with their depression (cCBT: n=50; cCBT+TAU: n=44); most patients were satisfied with their treatment allocation (Yes=66 for cCBT, 71 for cCBT+TAU; Neutral=29 for cCBT, 25 for cCBT+TAU; No=5 for cCBT, 4 for cCBT+TAU).	Life	version of the	were moderately high (Expectancy: cCBT	
Satisfaction with treatment allocation the participants expected that they would be less (1=yes, 2=neutral, 3=no); Evaluation cCBT+TAU: n=33) or that they would cope with questionnaire (7 their depression (cCBT: n=50; cCBT+TAU: n=44); most patients were satisfied with their on a 5-point scale, 1=completely agree, 5=completely cCBT+TAU; Neutral=29 for cCBT, 25 for cCBT+TAU; No=5 for cCBT, 4 for cCBT+TAU).  Dear et al, Satisfaction Participants rated a high level of satisfaction; 82% ++		English CEQ;	mean=18.3, SD=4.2, cCBT+TAU mean=19.0,	
treatment allocation (1=yes, 2=neutral, 3=no); Evaluation questionnaire (7 statements to be rated on a 5-point scale, 1=completely agree, 5=completely disagree)  the participants expected that they would be less depressed after treatment (cCBT: n=33; cCBT+TAU: n=33) or that they would cope with their depression (cCBT: n=50; cCBT+TAU: n=44); most patients were satisfied with their treatment allocation (Yes=66 for cCBT, 71 for cCBT+TAU; Neutral=29 for cCBT, 25 for cCBT+TAU; No=5 for cCBT, 4 for cCBT+TAU).  Dear et al,  Satisfaction  Participants rated a high level of satisfaction; 82%  ++		Expectancy question;	SD=4.8; Credibility: cCBT mean=18.8, SD=4.0,	
(1=yes, 2=neutral, depressed after treatment (cCBT: n=33; 3=no); Evaluation cCBT+TAU: n=33) or that they would cope with their depression (cCBT: n=50; cCBT+TAU: n=44); most patients were satisfied with their on a 5-point scale, treatment allocation (Yes=66 for cCBT, 71 for 1=completely agree, cCBT+TAU; Neutral=29 for cCBT, 25 for 5=completely cCBT+TAU; No=5 for cCBT, 4 for cCBT+TAU). disagree)  Dear et al, Satisfaction Participants rated a high level of satisfaction; 82% ++		Satisfaction with	cCBT+TAU mean=19.2, SD=3.8); the majority of	
3=no); Evaluation questionnaire (7 their depression (cCBT: n=50; cCBT+TAU: statements to be rated on a 5-point scale, 1=completely agree, 5=completely disagree)  Dear et al,  Satisfaction  CCBT+TAU: n=33) or that they would cope with their their depression (cCBT: n=50; cCBT+TAU: n=44); most patients were satisfied with their treatment allocation (Yes=66 for cCBT, 71 for cCBT+TAU; Neutral=29 for cCBT, 25 for cCBT+TAU; No=5 for cCBT, 4 for cCBT+TAU).		treatment allocation	the participants expected that they would be less	
questionnaire (7 their depression (cCBT: n=50; cCBT+TAU: n=44); most patients were satisfied with their on a 5-point scale, 1=completely agree, 5=completely (cCBT+TAU; No=5 for cCBT, 25 for 5=completely (cCBT+TAU; No=5 for cCBT, 4 for cCBT+TAU). disagree)  Dear et al, Satisfaction Participants rated a high level of satisfaction; 82% ++		(1=yes, 2=neutral,	depressed after treatment (cCBT: n=33;	
statements to be rated on a 5-point scale, 1=completely agree, 5=completely disagree)  Dear et al, Satisfaction  n=44); most patients were satisfied with their treatment allocation (Yes=66 for cCBT, 71 for cCBT+TAU; Neutral=29 for cCBT, 25 for cCBT+TAU; No=5 for cCBT, 4 for cCBT+TAU).		3=no); Evaluation	cCBT+TAU: n=33) or that they would cope with	
on a 5-point scale, 1=completely agree, 5=completely disagree)  Dear et al,  Satisfaction  treatment allocation (Yes=66 for cCBT, 71 for cCBT+TAU; Neutral=29 for cCBT, 25 for cCBT+TAU; No=5 for cCBT, 4 for cCBT+TAU).  Participants rated a high level of satisfaction; 82%  ++		questionnaire (7	their depression (cCBT: n=50; cCBT+TAU:	
1=completely agree, cCBT+TAU; Neutral=29 for cCBT, 25 for cCBT+TAU; No=5 for cCBT, 4 for cCBT+TAU).  disagree)  Dear et al, Satisfaction Participants rated a high level of satisfaction; 82% ++		statements to be rated	n=44); most patients were satisfied with their	
5=completely disagree) cCBT+TAU; No=5 for cCBT, 4 for cCBT+TAU).  Dear et al, Satisfaction Participants rated a high level of satisfaction; 82% ++		on a 5-point scale,	treatment allocation (Yes=66 for cCBT, 71 for	
disagree)  Dear et al, Satisfaction Participants rated a high level of satisfaction; 82% ++		1=completely agree,	cCBT+TAU; Neutral=29 for cCBT, 25 for	
Dear et al, Satisfaction Participants rated a high level of satisfaction; 82% ++		5=completely	cCBT+TAU; No=5 for cCBT, 4 for cCBT+TAU).	
		disagree)		
2013 [30] said they would recommend the course to a friend	Dear et al,	Satisfaction	Participants rated a high level of satisfaction; 82%	++
	2013 [30]		said they would recommend the course to a friend	

Managing	Two questions	and 82% reported that the doing the program was	
Your Mood	regarding	worth their time.	
	recommendation to a		
	friend and the		
	worthiness of time		
Dimidjian et	Acceptability	With regard to self-reported home mindfulness	?
al, 2014 [51]		practice (assigned to be completed 6 days per	
		week), within the full sample, mean weekly	
Mindful	Attendance, home	frequency of formal practice was 2.56 times (SD	
Mood	practice	2.15) and 55.80 min (SD 50.67) and for the 3-min	
Balance	completion	breathing space practice was 8.91 times (SD 7.34)	
		and 28.55 min (SD 24.13) per week; participants	
		showed strong acceptance of the intervention and	
		home practice, albeit at lower levels than have	
		been reported for in-person groups; for completion	
		rates see Table 3.	
Geraedts et al,	Satisfaction	Satisfaction with the intervention, feedback, and	+
2015 [46]		website was sufficient, with all grades above 7; the	
Happy@-	Internet Intervention	website was graded 7.4 (SD 0.9); the feedback was	
Work	Evaluation	graded 7.7 (SD 1.3); the intervention was graded	
	Questionnaire,	7.4 (SD 1.2); needing a longer period of time to	
	containing	complete the intervention was reported most	
	quantitative and	frequently, 76% reported that they would like to	
	qualitative questions;	follow a Web-based intervention again in the	
	participants grading	future; for dropout rates and reasons see Table 3.	
	the website,		
	feedback, and the		
	intervention on a		
	scale from 1 to 10		
	and giving comments		
	or suggestions for		
	improvement; to		
	identify reasons for		
	dropout, an adapted		
	version of the		
	Internet Intervention		
	Adherence Measure		
	was used		
Gerhards et	Experiences	Barriers and motivators experienced within cCBT	~
al, 2011 [33]		were related to the course content and to	
	İ	1	1

Colour Your	Semistructured	main barriers included experiencing a lack of	
Life	interviews with open	identification with and applicability of cCBT, lack	
	questions guided by a	of support to adhere to the program or to gain a	
	topic list	deeper understanding, and inadequate computer or	
	1	Internet skills, equipment, or location; motivators	
ļ		included the opportunity to perform the therapy at	
ļ		your own time, pace, and place; adding support to	
ļ		cCBT was suggested as an improvement toward	
ļ		adherence and the course content.	
Hind et al,	Acceptability	People felt that cCBT was a burden because of the	_
2010 [28]	receptability	physical and cognitive symptoms of multiple	
Beating the	Depth interviews	sclerosis or because it competed with other	
Blues or	Depth liner views	demands on their time; the absence of a human	
MoodGYM		therapist meant that individuals felt cCBT was an	
MINOUG I WI		isolating experience and that they had trouble	
		defining suitable problems, setting goals, and	
		applying CBT techniques; most felt that the	
		1	
		program failed to (and needed to) acknowledge the	
ļ		role that an incurable condition played in their	
II district to the second	A . 1 *1*.	depression.	
Høifødt et al,	Acceptability,	Results are reported for IG and delayed-treatment	+
2013 [12]	Satisfaction	control group (CG): overall satisfaction with	
		treatment was high, with 89% giving the	
MoodGYM	Nine questions to be	intervention as a whole a rating of 4 or 5; most	
ļ	rated on a 5-point	participants indicated that they would recommend	
	scale (higher	the combined intervention to a friend with a	
	scores=greater satis-	similar problem; the ratings of the intervention	
	faction); the	were positive but somewhat more moderate	
ļ	questions concerned	(between 50%-60%, giving clearly positive ratings	
	their satisfaction with	to the benefit of the program, the usefulness of the	
	the intervention as a	exercises, and the relevance of the thematic	
	whole and various	content, and none rating the program as not useful	
i			
	aspects of the self-	or relevant); the benefit of the treatment sessions	
	aspects of the self- help program and	and the relationship with the therapist were rated	
	help program and	and the relationship with the therapist were rated	
Kay-Lambkin	help program and follow-up (FU) sessions	and the relationship with the therapist were rated positively by more than 90%.	+
Kay-Lambkin	help program and follow-up (FU)	and the relationship with the therapist were rated positively by more than 90%.  Take-up rates were high (97%); session	+
Kay-Lambkin et al, 2011 [35]	help program and follow-up (FU) sessions	and the relationship with the therapist were rated positively by more than 90%.	+

SHADE	Treatment attendance	over the treatment period (mean [session 1 vs	
	measured by	5]=1.01, SD=2.48; mean [session 1 vs 10]=0.92,	
	completion rates;	SD=1.85; mean [session 5 vs 10]=0.04, SD=1.21);	
	therapeutic alliance	for completion rates see Table 3.	
	measured by ARM <sup>g</sup>	-	
	containing 28 self-		
	report items to be		
	rated on a 7-point		
	Likert scale		
	regarding client- and		
	therapist-based		
	domains and		
	impressions of the		
	client-therapist		
	relationship		
Knowles et al,	Experiences,	Four subthemes of acceptability: "flexibility,"	~
2015 [29]	Acceptability	"autonomy," "relational," and "connectedness"	
MoodGYM	Semistructured	that illustrate positive and negative aspects of	
WIOOGO I WI	interviews that	cCBT: "positive" (N=9; Beating the Blues: n=4,	
Beating the	include questions to	MoodGYM: n=5): patient controls when to use;	
Blues	explore expressed	supported autonomy—empowering, encourages	
21005	preference and	self-determination; appreciate anonymity or	
	engagement	reduced pressure of not being face-to-face;	
	ongugement.	comforting—"always there"; "negative" (N=10;	
		Beating the Blues: n=2, MoodGYM: n=8): "too	
		flexible"—easy to avoid, difficult to sustain;	
		enforced autonomy—too demanding, felt like	
		"work"; lacks empathic response; isolating,	
		enhances feeling of loneliness; "ambivalent"	
		(N=17; Beating the Blues: n=7, MoodGYM: n=10	
		MoodGYM): appreciated flexibility but greater	
		monitoring or FU needed to support use;	
		interrupted autonomy—didactic, did not feel like it	
		was user led, lacks personalization—too generic;	
		disconnection from characters.	
Kok et al,	Acceptability	Most participants rated all modules as useful and	+
2014 [47]		easy; modules 4, 5, and especially 6 were rated as	

Depression-	Participant's	difficult; the evaluations on usefulness and	
free	evaluation after each	difficulty of all modules were not associated with	
	module about the	the number of finished modules (all P values	
	perceived usefulness	>.05); a few participants reported that doing the	
	(very useful—not at	intervention was helpful and easy to perform,	
	all useful), perceived	some participants mentioned difficulties	
	difficulty (very	concerning specific contents (eg, drawing final	
	easy—difficult);	conclusions).	
	qualitative		
	experiences of		
	therapists and partici-		
	pants for describing		
	difficulties with the		
	modules		
Lintvedt et al,	Satisfaction	83.3% found the websites useful or very useful;	+
2013 [48]		76.7% reported the websites were easy or very	
		easy to understand; 83.3% reported that they	
MoodGYM +	Series of items	learned something from the websites and 63.3%	
BluePages	assessing	would probably use the websites again in the	
	participant's views	future; 90% would definitely or probably	
	about the usefulness	recommend the websites to others; 36.7%	
	of MoodGYM and	expressed changing their behavior because of the	
	BluePages, how easy	websites.	
	the applications were		
	to use, how much the		
	participants felt they		
	had learned, if they		
	would recommend		
	them to others, and if		
	they had done		
	something different		
	because of the		
	applications		
Lucassen et	Acceptability	80% indicated that they would recommend	++
al, 2015 [36]		Rainbow SPARX to friends; 85% thought that the	
		intervention would appeal to other young people;	
		the content of the program that received the	

Rainbow	Postintervention	highest usefulness ratings (≥4) was "learning about	
SPARX	satisfaction	depression" and "relax—slow breathing and	
SIAKA	questionnaire	muscle relaxation"; aspects of the program that	
	assessing items on	received the highest likability ratings ( $\geq 4$ ) were	
	Rainbow SPARX's	"You can learn things by yourself at your own	
	appeal, usefulness,	pace," "It is different to talking to a doctor or	
	and likability using a	counselor," "I could do it at home," "It comes with	
	5-point Likert	a notebook I can keep," and "It's made especially	
	response format	for young people"; for completion rates see Table	
	(5=very useful or	3.	
	really liked);		
	completion rates		
McMurchie et	Acceptability	Take-up rate of 56.9% shows that cCBT is	+
al, 2013 [34]		acceptable for at least half of the older people who	
Beating the	Take-up rates,	participated in the program; for dropout rates see	
Blues	dropout rates	Table 3.	
Merry et al,	Satisfaction	95% believed that the type of support they	++
2012 [37]	Satisfaction	received would appeal to other teenagers, 80.5%	
		would recommend the treatment to their friends,	
SPARX	Self-designed self-	53.2% would have liked the sessions to stay the	
	report questionnaire	length they were, 44.3% wanted the sessions to be	
	gathering information		
	about user	longer, and 61.5% reported that they completed all	
	satisfaction with the	or most of the set challenges ("homework").	
	program, evaluating		
	the features of the		
	intervention, yes or		
	no answers, and		
	open-ended items		
O'Mahen et	Acceptability	Reasons for signing up for the course: wanting	+
al, 2013 [49]		useful skills (60.6%), trusting Netmums site or	
Postnatal	Questionnaires about	name (37.9%), difficulties with access to CBT,	
Internet-based	acceptability at two	issues around privacy, speaking face-to-face	
behavioral	time points of	(29.5%), and fear of having their child taken away	
activation	measurement: (1)	(15.2%); key acceptability endorsements: flexible	
(iBA <sup>h</sup> )	after confirming	and convenient delivery of the treatment ("I could	
	participation (items	do it in my own time": 78.8%, "It was emailed to	
	associated with	my inbox every week": 63.5%, "It was free":	
	participants' reasons	63.5%), helping women to "help myself" (55.8%);	
	for signing up for the	women noted struggling to keep up with the	
	intervention), (2) at	program ("I felt I couldn't keep up with it":	
		1 6 - (	

	the 15-week FU	75.6%, "I felt overwhelmed with the weekly	
	(questions about	sessions": 34.1%, "It wasn't relevant to me or my	
	meeting or failing	situation": 14.6%); 48.9% did not know what they	
	participants'	would have preferred to the course, 23.4% would	
	expectations and	have preferred more information, and 17% wished	
	possible	for something that related better to their situation.	
	improvements of the		
	intervention)		
Perini et al,	Satisfaction	Participants reported an acceptable level of	++
2009 [38]		satisfaction with the overall program; 82%	
The Sadness	Posttreatment	reported being either very satisfied or mostly	
Program	questionnaire; ratings	satisfied; 94% rated the quality of the treatment	
	from 1 to 10	modules as excellent or good; 71% rated the	
	(10=high level of	quality of Internet correspondence with the	
	agreement)	therapist as excellent or good, whereas 29% rated	
		it as satisfactory; the average participant rated the	
		treatment as logical (8/10); they reported feeling	
		confident that the treatment would be successful at	
		teaching them techniques for managing their	
		symptoms (7/10); they expressed a high level of	
		confidence in recommending this treatment to a	
		friend with depression (8/10).	
Richards and	Satisfaction	Nonsignificant trend for the self-administered	+
Timulak,		cCBT (sacCBT) group: they found the treatment	
2013 [26]		easy to use and that the treatment would have	
Beating the	Four questions to be	lasting effects, more so than the therapist delivered	
Blues	rated from "agree	cCBT (tdcCBT) group; the majority found the	
(guided or	very strongly" to	Web-based treatment helpful (sacCBT: 87%,	
unguided)	"disagree very	tdcCBT: 90%); participants liked having self-	
unguraca)	strongly" (use of the	control over the administration of the program; the	
	personal computer to	sacCBT group reported an engaging and user-	
	access treatment,	friendly treatment (less so for the other group),	
	ease of use, lasting	tdcCBT group most liked anonymity and liked the	
	effects of the	range of CBT techniques and strategies of the	
		treatment; participants reported that the treatment	
	treatment,	could be complicated and impersonal and involved	
	recommendation of		
	cCBT to others,	a lot of work; the tdcCBT group least liked the	
	rating of the	lack of deadlines, the sacCBT group disliked that	
	treatment's	the treatment at times did not match the needs of	
	helpfulness); 2	the user, technical difficulties in using the	
	qualitative questions		

	(description of what	program, and a possible irritating format of	
	participants most and	delivery.	
	least liked about the	_	
	treatment)		
Schneider et	Acceptability	Strong agreement at baseline (BL) and FU1 with	++
al, 2014 [39]		all of the following assertions (rated as	
		"important" or "very important"): "I can use the	
MoodGYM	Questionnaire for	computer at my own pace." (BL: 89.9%, FU1:	
	judging the	90.8%); "using a computer is anonymous, I don't	
	importance of 5	need to tell people about my problems." (BL:	
	statements reflecting	74.8%, FU1: 73.6%); "It is convenient for me to	
	aspects of	access help via the Internet and not to have to go	
	acceptability; open-	to a health center or clinic." (BL: 83%, FU1:	
	ended statement	82.2%); "I can access help at any time that suits	
	regarding reasons to	me." (BL: 94%, FU1: 90%); "The computer will	
	like or dislike help	not criticize me." (BL: 63.2%, FU1: 58.9%). A	
	via the Internet;	majority regarded Web-based self-help to be	
		equally or more acceptable than seeing health care	
	questions aiming at	professionals face-to-face.	
	the relative	professionals face-to-face.	
	acceptability of Web-		
	based self-help		
	compared with		
	personal		
	consultations		
Sheeber et al,	Satisfaction	With regard to the program satisfaction, the mean	++
2012 [40]		ratings were above 4 on the 5-point Likert scale	
Mom-Net	Adapted version of	for skills, coach support, and general satisfaction	
program	the TAI <sup>i</sup> (ratings of	across both conditions, indicating that the	
	the helpfulness of	participants were highly satisfied with the	
	skills and materials,	intervention.	
	website, including		
	ease of use, coach		
	assistance, and		
	program as a whole)		
Stasiak et al,	Acceptability	55.5% liked the program, 56.6% rated it excellent	+
2014 [50]		or good, 66.7% would recommend it "as is" to	
		other adolescents; participants identified five	
	<u> </u>		l .

The Journey	Brief satisfaction	features of the program as their favorite: "it was	
	questionnaire of their	computer-based," "showed me things I didn't	
	own devising	know about," "I could use it at school," "it was	
	(perceived appeal,	made for adolescents," and "it talked about mental	
	likes, dislikes,	health"; the main identified weaknesses of the	
	usefulness of specific	program were technical glitches, excessive	
	features, and topics	amounts of reading, and perceived developmental	
	of the program);	inappropriateness (the program was thought to be	
	depth interview at the	more appealing to younger adults).	
	end of the study		
Titov et al,	Satisfaction	Acceptable level of satisfaction with the overall	++
2010 [27]		program (87% being either very satisfied or mostly	
The Sadness	Treatment	satisfied, 13% neutral or somewhat dissatisfied,	
Program	satisfaction	0% very dissatisfied); 90% rated the quality of the	
(technician-	questionnaire (based	treatment modules as excellent or good; 81% rated	
or clinician-	on the CEQ)	the quality of Internet correspondence with the	
assisted)		clinician or technician as excellent or good, 14%	
		rated it as satisfactory, 4% as unsatisfactory; the	
		average participant rated the treatment as logical	
		(8/10), they reported feeling confident that the	
		treatment would be successful at teaching them	
		techniques for managing their symptoms (8/10),	
		they reported a high level of confidence in	
		recommending this treatment to a friend with	
		depression (8/10); no between treatment group	
		differences were found in these items.	

<sup>a</sup>Level of acceptance: ++ very high level of acceptance; + high level of acceptance; − moderate level of acceptance; − low level of acceptance; ∼ considerations of positive and negative aspects.

<sup>b</sup>ZUF-8: Fragebogen zur Patientenzufriedenheit, German version of CSQ-8 (high scores=greater satisfaction).

<sup>c</sup>CSQ-8: Client Satisfaction Questionnaire (maximum score of 32, higher scores=greater satisfaction).

<sup>d</sup>AST: Acceptability of Self-Guided Treatment (1=strong disagreement, 7=strong agreement; that the program is acceptable).

<sup>e</sup>VAS: visual analogue scale.

<sup>f</sup>CEQ: Credibility or Expectancy Questionnaire (high scores=greater satisfaction).

<sup>g</sup>ARM: Agnes-Davies Relationship Measure (higher scores=more positive perceptions of alliance).

<sup>h</sup>BA: Internet-based behavioral activation.

<sup>i</sup>TAI: therapy attitude inventory (higher scores=more positive experiences).